Dynamics of ion-molecule reactions for astrochemistry; study of isomerism and internal or collision energy

THISSEN Roland^{1,2)} SOLEM Nicolas¹⁾, ROMANZIN Claire^{1,2)}, ALCARAZ Christian^{1,2)}

1) Institut de Chimie-Physique, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, UMR8000, 91405 Orsay, France

2) Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, F-92292 Saint-Aubin, France

HCO+ and HOC+ are two isomeric cations detected in Photon Dominated Regions [1], diffuse clouds [2], and Mars atmosphere [3,4]. They correspond to the protonation of carbon monoxide on either the carbon or oxygen atom and are important intermediates in the formation of complex molecules as HCO+ is the most abundant ion in molecular clouds. There is a difference in reactivity between the two isomers and isomerization is possible when energy is provided or through collision. The isomers have a difference of energy of 1.7 eV (HCO+ being the more stable) and are separated by an isomerization barrier of 3.2 eV [5]. Previous studies of their reactivity have mostly been obtained by electron ionization [6,7] which does not allow the formation of pure HOC+ nor precise internal energy determination.

The CERISES [8] instrument, connected on the DESIRS beamline at SOLEIL Synchrotron, was used to produce (i) HCO+ from formaldehyde (H₂CO) and (ii) HOC+ from deuterated methanol (CD3OH). We have studied the reactivity of the two isomers with a series of neutral targets to (i) characterize the ionic purity and internal energy content of the two isomers and (ii) study complex reactivity (with a potential increase in chemical complexity). From this extensive data set, we have determined the isomeric purity, the evolution of internal energy as a function of photon energy, and that the reactivity of both isomers with methanol is dominated by Proton Transfer (PT) and dissociative PT. Attempts to evaluate the collision-mediated isomerization are also being performed through an innovative method that aims to combine time-of-flight spectrometry with SIMION simulation.

References

- [1] A. Fuente, et al., A&A. 406 (2003) 899–913.
- [2] H. Liszt, et al., A&A. 428 (2004) 117–120.
- [3] J.L. Fox, Icarus. 252 (2015) 366–392.
- [4] M. Matta, et al., J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics. 118 (2013) 2681–2693.
- [5] A.J. Chalk, L. Radom, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 7573–7578.
- [6] A.J. Illies, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105 (1983) 2562–2565.
- [7] W. Wagner-Redeker, et al., J. Chem. Phys. 83 (1985) 1121–1131.
- [8] B. Cunha de Miranda, et al., J. Phys. Chem. A. 119 (2015) 6082–6098.